

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/508,835	06/15/2005	Pedro Teixidor Casanovas	4760-001 8091	
22429	22429 7590 10/10/2006		EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300			ELKINS, GARY E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		3782	-

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MT					
nt(s)					
R CASANOVAS, PEDRO					
dence address					
HRTY (30) DAYS,					
ate of this communication. § 133). any					
as to the merits is 13.					
the Examiner. 1.85(a). See 37 CFR 1.121(d). form PTO-152.					
 National Stage					

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/508,835	TEIXIDOR CASANOVAS, PEDRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary E. Elkins	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 September 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040923.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20061001				

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.84(h)(5) because Figure 1 show(s) modified forms of construction in the same view. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not

Art Unit: 3727

accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the last sentence of the abstract is grammatically unclear. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 1-3, "with the possibility" and "with the option of being formed" are each narrative and unclear with respect to what is being claimed.

The following are each unclear with respect to the previous element(s) being referred to: claim 1, lines 3, 5, 7, 8, 19 and 20, "their assembly", "these", "they", "these end extensions", "this" and "these flanges".

The following each lack antecedent basis in the claims, i.e. each is only inferentially set forth: claim 1, lines 5, 8, 13, 14, 17 and 19-22, "the respective bottom, longer sides and fronts", "these end extensions of the fronts", "the dihedron formed by the first sector and the actual front", "the actual front", "their upper edge flanges", "the other", "the projections", "the recesses" and "the same sectors".

In claim 1, lines 10-16 are unclear since these lines appear to be introducing six different sectors and the specification and drawings appear to only define five (9-13 as shown in fig. 3 of the drawings). The claims must clearly define which sectors are being referred to.

In claim 1, line 12, "the front" is unclear since a plurality of fronts were previously defined in the claim.

In claim 1, line 14, "the actual front" is unclear in meaning, i.e. "actual" as opposed to one which is not "actual"?

In claim 1, lines 15 and 16, "the diagonal sector" and "said diagonal sector" are unclear since two diagonal sectors appear to have been previously defined in lines 11 and 13.

In claim 1, line 16, "said diagonal sector en diagonal" is unclear in meaning.

In claim 1, line 17, "for both sector and sectors" is unclear in meaning and with respect to which sectors are referred to.

The last six lines of claim 1 are unclear grammatically. Also, the elements in these lines lack clear orientation with respect to the other elements of the box and themselves.

In the last line of each of claims 1 and 2, the claims end with the term "and", i.e. "and" what?

In claim 2, line 2, "the front fitted with a horizontal upper bridge" is unclear since, as disclosed, the upper bridge appears to be formed from the same piece of material as the front, i.e. how is the upper bridge "fitted" with the front?

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1 and 2, as best understood, would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

The cited prior art is illustrative of the general state of the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,835

Art Unit: 3727

Page 5

encouraging FAXing of responses in Office Actions to (571)273-8300. This practice may be

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is

used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a

fee by Applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the Examiner

and art unit at the top of your cover sheet.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained form the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. Also, copies of an office action or other file

information may be obtained from the Private PAIR system. For more information about the

PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions regarding access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the

Examiner should be directed to Gary Elkins at telephone number (571)272-4537. The Examiner

can normally be reached Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Nathan Newhouse can be reached at (571)272-4544.

Gary E. Elkins
Primary Examiner

01 October 2006